

CLW 2013: The Third Workshop on Cognitive Load and In-Vehicle Human-Machine Interaction

Andrew L. Kun¹⁾, Bryan Reimer²⁾, Peter Froehlich³⁾, Peter A. Heeman⁴⁾,
W. Thomas Miller, III¹⁾, Paul A. Green⁵⁾, Ivan Tashev⁶⁾, Shamsi Iqbal⁶⁾, Dagmar Kern⁷⁾

¹⁾ University of New Hampshire
andrew.kun@unh.edu

²⁾ AgeLab, MIT
reimer@mit.edu

³⁾ Telecommunications Research
Center (FTW)
froehlich@ftw.com

⁴⁾ Oregon Health & Science
University
heemanp@ohsu.edu

⁵⁾ University of Michigan
Transportation Research Institute
pagreen@umich.edu

⁶⁾ Microsoft Research
ivantash@microsoft.com
shamsi@microsoft.com

⁷⁾ Bertrandt Ingenieurbüro GmbH
dagmar.kern@googlemail.com

ABSTRACT

Interactions with in-vehicle electronic devices can interfere with the primary task of driving. The concept of cognitive load can help us understand the extent to which these interactions interfere with the driving task and how this interference can be mitigated. The workshop will address cognitive load estimation and management for both driving and interactions with in-vehicle systems, as well as the need for standardizing cognitive load-related concepts and experimental practices.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

H.5.2 Information interfaces and presentation: User Interfaces.
H.5.1 Multimedia information systems.

General Terms

Design, Experimentation, Human Factors, Measurement.

Keywords

Cognitive load, estimation, management, driving.

1. INTRODUCTION

In-vehicle human-machine interaction (HMI) can interfere with the primary task of driving. The concept of cognitive load can help us understand the extent to which these interactions interfere with the driving task and how this interference can be mitigated [1]. While multiple definitions of cognitive load (also called cognitive or mental workload) appear in the literature (see [2] for a brief review), it is commonly defined as the relationship between the cognitive demands of a task and the cognitive resources of the user [3]. While research results on in-vehicle cognitive load are frequently presented at automotive research conferences and in related journals, CLW 2013, the third in the series [4], will provide a unique forum for focused discussions on this topic.

2. WORKSHOP GOALS

The workshop has four goals:

1. **Explore the concept of cognitive load:** While the concept of cognitive load has been used by a number of researchers working on in-vehicle HMI (as well as those working in other fields), the definition of cognitive load is still debated. What are the definitions of cognitive load used in industry and academia? How is cognitive load related to different aspects of driving and various in-vehicle secondary tasks? Workshop participants will discuss these questions and will propose their own definitions of cognitive load.
2. **Explore issues in cognitive load estimation:** In estimating cognitive load (on-road [5, 6] and laboratory-based [7, 8]), researchers and practitioners use three types of measures: performance, physiological and subjective. The workshop will explore the practical use of these measures. Specifically, participants will discuss estimation methods, including details such as measurement equipment, reference tasks, and experimental design.
3. **Explore issues in cognitive load management:** How can we design in-vehicle HMI such that the driver has the cognitive resources to safely operate the vehicle, even while interacting with in-vehicle devices? Researchers and practitioners have explored a number of approaches for workload management [9], from simply turning off HMI in certain situations, to introducing novel interaction methods which hopefully do not introduce undue cognitive interference with the driving task (voice interfaces [10, 11], augmented reality [12, 13], mediation [14], tactile interfaces [15], subliminal notifications [16], etc.). The workshop will explore various aspects of managing the driver's cognitive load.
4. **Explore the need for standardization:** In light of current approaches to cognitive load estimation and management, what are the areas of standardization that would be of the greatest benefit to researchers and practitioners? Workshop participants will discuss approaches of interest, including the introduction of standard definitions, toolsets, and corpora, which could

be used to make new results replicable and easily compared to the results of others.

The workshop organizers will bring together a number of experts from government, industry, and/or academia to address topics on exploring the concept of cognitive load (goal 1). Furthermore, we will solicit research papers exploring issues in cognitive load estimation and management for interactions with in-vehicle devices (goals 2 and 3). Authors will be encouraged to also include at least one paragraph addressing standardization (goal 4). Additionally, position papers on goal 4 will also be solicited. Topics of interest will include:

- Cognitive load estimation in the laboratory,
- Cognitive load estimation on the road,
- Sensing technologies for cognitive load estimation,
- Algorithms for cognitive load estimation,
- Performance measures of cognitive load,
- Physiological measures of cognitive load,
- Visual measures of cognitive load,
- Subjective measures of cognitive load,
- Methods for benchmarking cognitive load,
- Cognitive load of driving,
- Cognitive overload and cognitive underload,
- Approaches to cognitive load management inspired by human-human interactions.

3. WORKSHOP ORGANIZATION

3.1 Before the Workshop

3.1.1 Program Committee Recruitment

The program committee will be recruited from the extensive list of academic and industry contacts of the organizers, in the HCI, speech, ubiquitous computing, and human factors and ergonomics communities. We will primarily target our colleagues who were part of the PC in 2011 and 2012.

3.1.2 Publicity and Soliciting Papers

The workshop will be publicized using a dedicated website hosted by the University of New Hampshire. The Call for Papers will be distributed via the following channels:

- ACM CHI mailing list,
- Ubicomp mailing list,
- SIGdial mailing list,
- WikiCFP,
- HFES Surface Transportation Technical Group Newsletter,
- Driving Assessment conference email list;
- Contacts of program committee members in their respective fields.

3.1.3 Paper Submission, Review and Selection

Papers will be submitted and reviewed using the EasyChair conference management system [17]. This will allow for online

paper submission and simple management of reviewer assignments and feedback. The organizers will make the final paper selection based on reviewer recommendations. Note that EasyChair is a free service hosted by the University of Manchester CS Department; therefore no funding will have to be secured for its operation.

3.1.4 Final Pre-Workshop Activities

The list of accepted papers will be posted on the workshop website in early October. The organizers will create a mailing list to distribute accepted papers to workshop participants prior to the workshop. Participants will also be encouraged to use the mailing list to initiate interactions before the workshop.

3.2 During the Workshop

3.2.1 Sessions

This all day workshop will start with a keynote address and continue with three sessions.

Keynote by Klaus Bengler. Dr. Bengler is professor at the Technische Universitat Munchen. One of his primary focus areas is in-vehicle human-computer interaction. In his keynote Dr. Bengler will discuss issues of driver availability (underload vs. overload situations).

Session 1: Expert presentations on cognitive load and in-vehicle HMI. The first session will feature 2-4 experts who will discuss their views on the concept of cognitive load: what it is, how to estimate it, and what its role is in exploring in-vehicle HMI. The session will include a presentation by Toyota's James Foley, who will discuss NHTSA guidelines for the design of in-vehicle HMI and their relationship to cognitive load.

Session 2: Contributed presentations on cognitive load and in-vehicle HMI. Session 2 will feature oral presentations by workshop participants introducing papers accepted for publication by CLW 2013. The presentations will focus on cognitive load estimation and management, specifically the topics listed at the end of section 2.

Session 3: Sharpening our arguments. In the final session we will invite all participants to discuss the contributed presentations (Session 2), especially in light of the keynote and the expert presentations (Session 1). We will offer the following seed questions for this discussion:

- 1) Are the problems, goals and hypotheses of the contributed presentations well-defined and grounded in existing knowledge? How could they be improved based on the keynote and expert presentations?
- 2) Did the authors of the contributed presentations consider all interesting questions that are raised by their work? Are there aspects of their discussion and conclusions that could be improved?
- 3) Are the presented results repeatable? What are the aspects of cognitive load-related research that should be standardized?

3.2.2 Collecting Feedback

As in 2011 and 2012, at the end of the workshop organizers will solicit feedback from participants in anonymous written form. Participants will be asked to evaluate the relevance and ultimate value of the workshop using responses on a Likert scale. Suggestions for improvements will also be solicited.

3.3 After the Workshop

3.3.1 Online Report

Based on the notes taken during the workshop, the organizers will create a report about the workshop's outcomes and post it on the workshop website. The organizers will also report on participant evaluations.

3.3.2 White Paper(s) on Future Work

The organizers will initiate an effort to prepare a white paper to provide guidance on future work in the field of cognitive load as it relates to in-vehicle HMI. The intended consumers of this guidance are fellow researchers and developers, industry, and funding agencies.

3.3.3 Workshop at AutomotiveUI 2014?

Assuming that participant feedback indicates that the workshop was successful, the organizers will contact participants for suggestions for a workshop to be held at AutomotiveUI 2014.

4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work at the University of New Hampshire was supported by the US Department of Justice (grants 2009-D1-BX-K021 and 2010-DD-BX-K226).

5. REFERENCES

- [1] Paul A. Green. Driver Interface/HMI Standards to Minimize Driver Distraction/Overload (SAE paper 2008-21-2002). Convergence 2008.
- [2] Bruce Mehler, Bryan Reimer and Marin Zec. Defining Workload in the Context of Driver State Detection and HMI Evaluation. AutomotiveUI 2012.
- [3] Christopher D. Wickens. Multiple resources and performance prediction. *Theoretical Issues in Ergonomics Science*, 3(2):159–177, 2002.
- [4] CLW website: <http://www.auto-ui-cogload.unh.edu/> (accessed July 9, 2013)
- [5] Bryan Reimer, and Bruce Mehler. The Impact of Cognitive Workload on Physiological Arousal in Young Adult Drivers: A Field Study and Simulation Validation. *Ergonomics*, 54(10), pp. 932-942, 2011.
- [6] Bryan Reimer, Bruce Mehler, Ying Wang, and Joseph F. Coughlin. A Field Study on The Impact of Variations in Short Term Memory Demands on Drivers' Visual Attention and Driving Performance Across Three Age Groups. *Human Factors*, 54(3), pp. 454-468, 2012.
- [7] Oskar Palinko, Andrew L. Kun, Alexander Shyrokov, and Peter Heeman. Estimating Cognitive Load Using Remote Eye Tracking in a Driving Simulator. ETRA 2010.
- [8] Yun-Cheng Ju and Tim Paek. Using speech to reply to SMS messages while driving: An in-car simulator user study. ACL, 2010.
- [9] Paul Green. Driver Distraction, Telematics Design, and Workload Managers: Safety Issues and Solutions. SAE Paper Number 2004-21-0022. Convergence 2004.
- [10] Ivan Tashev, Michael Seltzer, Yun-Cheng Ju, Ye-Yi Wang, and Alex Acero. Commute UX: Voice Enabled In-car Infotainment System. MobileHCI '09: Workshop on Speech in Mobile and Pervasive Environments (SiMPE).
- [11] Andrew L. Kun, W. Thomas Miller, and William H. Lenharth. Computers in Police Cruisers. *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, 3(4): 34 – 41, 2004.
- [12] Zeljko Medenica, Andrew L. Kun, Tim Paek, and Oskar Palinko. Augmented Reality vs. Street Views: A Driving Simulator Study Comparing Two Emerging Navigation Aids. MobileHCI 2011.
- [13] Peter Froehlich, Raimund Schatz, Peter Leitner, Stephan Mantler, and Matthias Baldauf. Evaluating realistic visualizations for safety-related in-car information systems. CHI 2010 Extended Abstracts.
- [14] Shamsi T Iqbal, Eric Horvitz, Y.C. Ju, and Ella Mathews. Hang on a Sec! Effects of Proactive Mediation of Phone Conversations while Driving. CHI 2011.
- [15] Dagmar Kern, Paul Marshall, Eva Hornecker, Yvonne Rogers and Albrecht Schmidt. Enhancing Navigation Information with Tactile Output Embedded into the Steering Wheel. Pervasive 2009.
- [16] Andreas Riemer, Alois Ferscha, Peter Frech, Michael Hackl and Marius Kaltenberger. Subliminal notification of CO2 emission while driving. AutomotiveUI 2010.
- [17] EasyChair Conference Management System, <http://www.easychair.org/>.